

BENTHIC FAUNA STATUS AS INDICATOR OF WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT IN STREZHEVO ACCUMULATION

Rodne Nastova, Vasil Kostov, Natasha Gjorgovska, Vesna Levkov

“Ss. Cyril and Methodius” University in Skopje, Institute of Animal Science,
Blvd. Ilinden 92a, 1000 Skopje, Republic of Macedonia
rodne_nastova@yahoo.com

In the period March–October 2009 in the water of Strezhevo accumulation, Shemnica River and the alimentational canal samples of water and sediment were being collected for multidisciplinary research, with special regard to the quantitative and qualitative composition of benthic fauna. The field study was organized in season intervals, and special attention was paid to the critical months (June, July, August) with the goal of following-up the dynamics of the changes in the accumulation. The obtained results shows that the dominant groups of bottom fauna are *Oligochaeta*, *Ephemeroptera*, *Trichoptera*, *Diptera* and *Plecoptera*. According to the used indicators (composition of macrozoobentos fauna) it was found that there is a serious worsening of the water quality in the ecosystem. Increased presence of biodegradable organic matters was noticed, especially in the summer period.

Key words: water quality; bottom fauna; assessment; worsening

СТАТУСОТ НА БЕНТОСНАТА ФАУНА КАКО ИНДИКАТОР ЗА ОДРЕДУВАЊЕ НА КВАЛИТЕТОТ НА ВОДАТА ВО АКУМУЛАЦИЈАТА СТРЕЖЕВО

Во периодот март–октомври 2009 година од акумулацијата Стрежево, реката Шемница и алиментациониот канал се земени примероци од вода и седименти за мултидисциплинарни истражувања со посебен осврт на хидробиолошките испитувања и добиените резултати се презентирани во овој труд. Теренските истражувања беа организирани во сезонски интервали, а посебно внимание беше посветено на критичните месеци (јуни, јули, август) со цел да се следи динамиката на промените во акумулацијата. Резултатите од истражувањата на квалитативниот и квантитативниот состав на бентосната фауна укажуваат на доминација на следните групи: *Oligochaeta*, *Ephemeroptera*, *Trichoptera*, *Diptera* и *Plecoptera*. Составот на микрозообентосната фауна навестува дека постои сериозно влошување на квалитетот на водата во проучуваниот екосистем. Зголеменото присуство на биоразградливи органски материи беше забележано особено во летниот период.

Клучни зборови: квалитет на вода; фауна на дното; влошување

INTRODUCTION

By the amount of accumulated water, Strezhevo is ranked in the sixth place in our country.

The accumulation is 7 km long and 29 m deep. Strezhevo dam is a high embankment earth barrage with central clay core and upstream-downstream gravel support body, erected on Shemnica River, 24 km upstream from its confluence into Crna River and 1.5 km downstream from the village of Strezhevo. Its construction created an accumulation space with useful volume that enables: providing amounts of water necessary for irrigation

of part of Pelagonia, drinking and technological water for livestock, partial replenishment up to the required amounts of un-processed water for the needs of JP “Vodovod” – Bitola, providing part of the needs for technological water for part of the industry, and protection from flooding a part of Pelagonia.

The area covered by the hydrosystem Strezhevo is located in the south-west part of R. Macedonia, occupying an area of 715 km². It includes: the east side of Baba Mountain massif, the confluence area of Shemnica River, the Strezhevo dam profile and the part of Pelagonia confined by

Shemnica River, Crna River and Macedonian-Greek border.

The water from the accumulation primarily used for irrigation of the arable area in the Bitola part of Pelagonia, in a great deal enables solving the problem of supplying Bitola and the nearby villages with quality drinking water.

The trophic grade of a certain lake depends on the intensity of matter and energy exchange, i.e. on the organic production. Namely, oligotrophic lakes have small organic production, whereas the shallow waters, among which the lakes are classified too, have high organic production. Such state is preconditioned by: increased water temperature and light penetration down to the bottom, which stimulates the macro-vegetation and the trophicity of water ecosystems (Benndorf, 1992; Moss & Philips, 1996).

Water Framework Directive (WFD) of European Community (2000/60/EC) is the legal basis for the development of biological monitoring of surface waters in Europe. It raises a number of monitoring requirements, with emphasis on the hydrobiological monitoring and defines the requirements for monitoring the status of surface waters. Biological monitoring as a criterion for assessing ecological quality of water used with priority in many European countries as a mandatory element of the monitoring system and information about the status of water. The concept of ecological status is defined in terms of the quality of the biological community (phytoplankton, macrozoobenthos, and macrophytes), according to the WFD (2000/60/EC) and ecosystem approach philosophy, as well as the hydromorphological and physicochemical characteristics of the system. These indices give possibilities for establish the changes in the water quality and successfully can be used for the purposes of ecological assessment of the river water bodies (Mihailova and Kostadinova, 2012; Kostadinova et al., 2013).

The goal of this paper was to investigate the macrozoobenthos communities in the water of Strezhevo accumulation, Shemnica River and alimentation canal and on this base to assess the ecological status of the water during different seasons of the year.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The samples of water and sediment from different water bodies were collected in the period March–October 2009 from monitoring points as follow:

– Strezhevo accumulation: SI₁, SI₂, SI₃, SII₁, SII₂, SIII₁, SIII₂, SIII₃);

– Shemnica River: SHR., SHR loc. 1, SHR loc. 2), Shemnica River before mouth (SHRbm), confluence (c.) and the alimentation canal (A.C.);

– alimentation canal under bridge (A.C.b), alimentation canal before confluence (A.C.c).

The bottom fauna samples were being collected using an Eckmann-Birge type excavator with grab area of 132 cm² and a Surber net with grab area of 300 cm² (APHA, AWWA, WEF 2005). Using standard methods, the material collected was prepared for further processing in laboratory conditions.

Saprobic index (s) was calculated according to Pantle-Buck model (1955). That index was used to categorized the Strezhevo Lake according established ecological status.

The bottom fauna's qualitative composition is determined based on the taxonomic characteristics of the organisms (Brinkhurst & Jamieson, 1971).

For Oligochaeta determination the most important thing is the sexual apparatus to be preserved. For that purpose the Oligochaeta are preserved using 70.96% and 100% alcohol, and then a drop of methyl-salicylate is added. That's when the Oligochaeta become transparent and are easy to be determined. Oligochaeta determination was performed on live units using standard keys for determining this group of organisms. The number of units is presented as total number of units per square meter (Bartoš, 1959; Šrámek, 1962).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fauna of the bottom in winter

Table 1 shows the qualitative and quantitative composition of the macrozoobenthos, for each location. The analysis of the macrozoobenthos winter sample from the accumulation and part of its confluent basin shows penury regarding the qualitative-quantitative composition. The fauna of the bottom of Shemnica River and the alimentation canal is significantly more diverse in comparison to that of the accumulation studied, and in the latter a uniform fauna of oligochaetic-chironomous type is ascertained with a distinctive prevalence of the Oligochaeta group. This group's representatives are distinctively prevalent in the deeper parts of the lake, towards the dam where the sedimentation is intense.

Table 1

Qualitative and quantitative composition of the bottom fauna in winter (ind/m²)

3. 3. 2009	s	SHR	SHRbm	A.C.	SI ₁	SI ₂	SI ₃	SII ₁	SII ₃	SIII ₁	SIII ₂	SIII ₃
OLIGOCHAETA												
<i>Bythonomus lemani</i>	1.2		44.44	3.33								
<i>Enhitrus albicus</i>	2.9			6.66	88.88							
<i>Enhitrus sp.</i>	2.1											
<i>Limnodrilus claperedeanus</i>	2.9						222.2					
<i>Limnodrilus helveticus</i>	2.9					266.6	88.88					
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i>	3.6	33.33			44.44	133.3	44.44					
<i>L. hoffmeisteri f. parva</i>	3.6											
<i>Limnodrilus sp.</i>			88.88		44.44							
<i>Nais barbata</i>	2.8		44.44	9.99								
<i>Nais bretcheri</i>	2.5		133.3									
<i>Nais communis</i>	2.9									88.88		
<i>Nais elinguis</i>	2.9	6.66	311.08	29.97								
<i>Nais simplex</i>	2.7		44.44									
<i>Nais stolci</i>			88.88									
<i>Nais sp.</i>	2.9		177.76									
<i>Tubifex ignotus</i>	2.1					222.2						
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	3.7	6.66	44.44			44.44		44.44	133.32	1377.6	88.88	
MOLLUSCA												
<i>Ancylus sp.</i>				99.99								
DIPTERA												
<i>Simulium sp.</i>			66.66	899.9								
<i>Chironomidae</i>				66.66	133	88.8			88.8	888		220
<i>Tanypodinae</i>		99.99	199.9									
<i>Orthocladinae</i>		133.3		299.9								
<i>Chironomus thummi</i>											166	
<i>Tipula sp.</i>				33.33								
PLECOPTERA												
<i>Lecutra sp.</i>		33.33										
<i>Taeniopterygidae</i>				33.33								
<i>Taeniopterix sp.</i>				666.6								
EPHEMEROPTERA												
<i>Baetis sp.</i>		33.33	66.66	66.66								
<i>Caenis sp. (horaria)</i>			66.66									
<i>Epeorus sylvicola (assimilis)</i>				99.99								
<i>Ecdyonurus venosus</i>				133.3								
TRICHOPTERA												
<i>Sericostoma sp.</i>				33.33								
<i>Sericostomatidae-House</i>		33.33										
<i>Hydropsyche instabilis</i>			66.66									
<i>Limnephilus sp.</i>			33.33									
Saprobity index		2.2	1.92	1.75	3.2	2.15	3.19	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	/

Table 2

Qualitative and quantitative composition of the bottom fauna in spring (ind/m²)

12. 5. 2009	s	A.C.b	A.C.c	SHR loc. 1	SHR loc. 2	c.	SI ₁	SI ₂	SI ₃	SII ₁	SII ₂	SII ₃	SIII ₁	SIII ₂	SIII ₃
OLIGOCHAETA															
<i>Bythonomu lemani</i>	1.2	88.88	177.7												
<i>Trichodrilus strandi</i>		44.44													
<i>Limnodrilus udececianus</i>	3.8													755.5	
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i>	3.6							355.5	133.3	44.44				1333.2	
<i>Aulodrilus plurisets</i>	2.2										533.3				
<i>Nais communis</i>	2.9		44.44												
<i>Nais elinguis</i>	2.9	489	355												
<i>Enchytru albidus</i>	2.9	44.44													
<i>Lumbriculuss varigatus</i>	2.3	44.44													
<i>Eisenel tetraedra</i>	2.1					88.88									
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	3.7							1338		177.7	1777.6	400	2222		400
MOLLUSCA															
<i>Limnaea peregra</i>	2.0					88.88									
<i>Ancylu fluvialitis</i>	1.35				88.88	44.44									
GAMMARIDE															
<i>Gammarus sp.</i>	1.1				355										
DIPTERA															
<i>Liponeura sp.</i>		88.88				44.44									
<i>Chironomidae</i>		222.2	177	311.8	133	222.2					177	88.88			
<i>Dicronata sp.</i>		133	88.88			44.44									
<i>Myaloptera sp.</i>			44.44												
<i>Tipula sp.</i>				44.44		44.44									
PLECOPTERA															
<i>Isoperla grammatical</i>	1.75	44.44				133									
<i>Perlodes microcephala</i>	0.3	44.44			88.88	44.44									
<i>Taeniopterix sp.</i>	0.9		44.44	133	88.88										
ODONATA															
<i>Gomphus vulgatissimus</i>	2.5			44.44											
EPHEMEROPTERA															
<i>Ephemer danica</i>	1.5	44.44		88.88											
<i>Epeoru assimilis</i>	0.55			177	133										
<i>Baetis sp.</i>	1.3	133	44.44	311.1	222.2	44.44									
<i>Caenis horaria</i>	1.1		44.44												
<i>Ecdyonurus venosus</i>	2.0	44.44		44.44	88.88	44.44									
TRICHOPTERA															
<i>Anabolia nervosa</i>	2.0	133													
<i>Potamophylax latipenis</i>		133		133											
<i>Hydropsyche instabilis</i>	1.95			133	177	133									
<i>Limnephilus sp.</i>	1.2				444.4	311.1	88.88								
<i>Odontocerum albacore</i>	0.1			44.44											
<i>Limnephilus sp.</i>	1.2		44.44				88.88								
Saprobity index		1.82	1.65	1.15	1.17	1.75	1.2	3.65	3.8	3.65	2.95	3.7	3.7		3.7

According to the data obtained, Shemnica River and the alimentation canal before the junction have water characteristics of Δ -mesosaprobic type, with indexes of 2.2 and 1.75, and may be ranked in quality class II (Regulation for Classification of Water, Official Gazette of Republic of Macedonia, 1999).

Water quality in Strezhevo accumulation, according to the analysis of the macrozoobenthos, may be divided in several zones: lithoral part – zone in the immediate vicinity of the dam, and the accumulation environment with high saprobic index, therefore these parts of the lake are classified in Δ -mesosaprobic type, with a tendency towards polysaprobity. Only at the point SI₂ (the beginning of the accumulation) better water quality is perceived, with saprobity index of 2.15.

Fauna of the bottom in spring

In the spring season, 9 groups of invertebrate benthos macrofauna were found on the researched locations of Strezhevo accumulation and part of its confluent basin. Insecta is the most numerous group here. Ecological conditions' diversity in the accumulation's confluent part has preconditioned a corresponding development of the bottom macrofauna (Jeppesen et al., 1997). In qualitative and quantitative terms, insects' fauna prevails in it, being represented mainly by groups and species typical for fast-flowing clear waters with sand/rock substrate (Table 2).

The increased diversity of species of the groups Ephemeroptera, Trichoptera and Plecoptera, the ones being indicators of clean waters, is especially characteristic here. Biodiversity of the benthos macrofauna drastically decreases at the lake's entrance and transforms into a typical lake-like uniform fauna of oligochaetic-chironomus type. Oligochaeta's fauna is more present at the middle points, and especially near the dam. At all the researched profiles the population of *Tubifex tubifex* species prevails, being the mainstay of Oligochaeta community's numerosity (Vagner & Mestrov, 1982).

Based on the saprobiological index, it can be ascertained that water quality in the lake's confluent basin is of oligo-beta-mesosaprobic type, whereas the surface layer of the water ranks in alpha to poly-saprobic quality degree.

Fauna of the bottom in summer

In the summer period the water inflow into the alimentation canal and the river of Shemnica completely dried up. Intense water drainage for irrigation purposes as well as for water supply of the population led to drastic descent of the lake level. In these conditions a uniform benthos macrofauna of invertebrates was ascertained, represented mainly by species from Oligochaeta and Chironomidae groups (Table 3).

Table 3

Qualitative and quantitative composition of the bottom fauna in summer (ind/m²)

8. 8. 2009	s	SI ₁	SI ₂	SI ₃	SII ₁	SII ₂	SII ₃	SIII ₁	SIII ₂	SIII ₃
OLIGOCHAETA										
<i>Limnodrilus offmeisteri</i>	3.6	311.1	533.3	311.1						
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	3.5		177.7	44.44						
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	3.7	2113.1	933.2	577.7	2888.6	3100	1022	2088.7	1886.5	1066.5
<i>Aulodrilus plurisetata</i>	2.2					755.5				
TURBELARIA										
<i>Dugesia</i> sp.	2.0				44.44					
ISOPODA										
<i>Asellus</i> sp.	2.4				88.88					
DIPTERA										
<i>Chironomidae</i>		222.2				222.2	355	710		44.44
Saprobity index		3.65	3.65	3.65	2.7	2.95	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7

Within this fauna the species *Tubifex tubifex* is especially prevalent. The quantities of Oligochaeta and of this prevailing type are equal at all the profiles. These results are similar to the results of Duran & Akyildiz (2011) who found more Oligochaeta species during the summer period. The authors pointed out that increasing temperatures might support eurithermic species.

According to the saprobiological index, the studied contact layer of the lake may be categorized in Δ -polysaprobic zone, which indicates increased presence of biodegradable organic matters.

Fauna of the bottom in autumn

In the autumn season the lowest water level of the lake was registered. Lake's water withdraws from the flood shore zones and that's why the investigated sites are located closer to the middle of the lake. For that reason the previously ascertained

differences in the qualitative and quantitative composition between the shore points and the middle part of the lake don't exist in such conditions, so that the distribution and the abundance of the Oligochaeta communities are evenly present in all parts of the lake. The biggest prevalence at all the profiles is held by the species *Tubifex tubifex* (Table 4).

Based on the high values of the saprobiological index, increased amounts of organic matters in the contact layer can be ascertained, and for that reason it is ranked in category Δ -mesosaprobic to polysaprobic.

The results gained from determination of macrozoobenthos species are in concordance with the results of Duran (2006) and Slavevska-Stamenković et al. (2008).

All these results are a signal portending serious deterioration of water quality in the ecosystem studied.

Table 4

Qualitative and quantitative composition of the bottom fauna in autumn (ind/m²)

11.10.2009	s	SI ₁	SI ₂	SI ₃	SII ₁	SII ₂	SII ₃	SIII ₁	SIII ₂	SIII ₃
OLIGOCHAETA										
<i>Limnodrilus Hoffmeisteri</i>	3.6					177.7				
<i>Limnodrilus sp.</i>	3.5	88.88					488.8		44.44	
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	3.7	577.7	3377	6177.1	4977	222.2	1155	4888	88.8	1422
<i>Aulodrilus plurisetia</i>	2.2		133.3	844.4	666.6					
<i>Limnodrilus Udecemianus</i>					1022					
<i>Stylodrilus lacustris</i>									222.2	
<i>Trichodrilus strandi</i>									44.44	
TURBELLARIA										
<i>Dugesia sp.</i>	2.0					44.44				
<i>Bivalvia</i>			133.3							
DIPTERA										
<i>Chironomidae</i>			1866.5	3066	4311		1022	1866.5		488.8
Saprobity Index		3.6	2.95	2.95	3.2	3.1	3.6	3.7	3.6	

CONCLUSION

Water quality in Strezhevo accumulation, according to the analysis of the macrozoobenthos, in the winter period may be divided in several zones: lithoral part – zone in the immediate vicinity of the

dam, and the accumulation environment with high saprobic index, therefore these parts of the lake are classified in Δ -mesosaprobic type, with tendency towards polysaprobity. Only at the point SI₂ (the beginning of the accumulation) better water quality is perceived, with saprobity index of 2.15.

In the spring period, based on the saprobiological index it can be ascertained that water quality in the lake's confluent basin is of oligo-beta-mesosaprobic type, whereas the surface layer of the water ranks in alpha to polysaprobic quality degree.

According to the saprobiological index, the studied contact layer of the lake in the summer period may be categorized in Δ -polysaprobic zone, which indicates increased presence of biodegradable organic matters in summer.

Based on the high values of the saprobiological index in the autumn period, increased amounts of organic matters in the contact layer can be ascertained, and for that reason it is ranked in category Δ -mesosaprobic to polysaprobic.

REFERENCES

- [1] APHA, AWWA, WEF: *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater* (2005).
- [2] Bartoš Emanuel: *Fauna ČSR, sv. 15, Vírničci – Rotatoria*, Československá akademie věd, Praha, 1959.
- [3] Benndorf J.: The control of the indirect effects of biomanipulation. In: Sutcliffe D. W. & Jones J. G. (eds), *Eutrophication: Research and Application to Water Supply, Freshwat.* Biol. Association, Far Sawrey, Ambleside, Cumbria, England, 1992.
- [4] Brinkhurst R. O., Jamieson B. G.: *Aquatic Oligochaeta of the World*. 860 pp., 1971.
- [5] Duran M.: Monitoring water quality using benthic macroinvertebrates and physicochemical parameters of Behzat Stream in Turkey. *Polish J. of Environ. Stud.*, **15** (5): 709–717 (2006).
- [6] Duran M., Akyildiz G. K.: Evaluating benthic macroinvertebrate fauna and water quality of Suleymani Lake (Buldan-Denizli) in Turkey. *Acta Zool. Bulg.*, **63** (2): 169–178 (2011).
- [7] Jeppesen E., Jensen J. P., Sondegaard M., Lauridsen T., Pedersen L. J., Jensen L.: Top-down control in fresh water lakes: the role of nutrient state, submerged macrophytes and water depth, *Hydrobiologia* **342/343**: 151–164 pp. (1997).
- [8] Kostadinova G. N., Georgieva Z., Yaneva G., Petkov M., Todorova Miteva Ch.: Tundzha river water quality as a source for irrigation in agriculture. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **19** (4): 635–643 (2013).
- [9] Mihailova G., Kostadinova G.: Macrozoobenthos Communities as Indicator for Ecological Status Assessment of Surface Water Bodies in Toundja River, Bulgaria, *Journal of Balkan Ecology*, **15** (2): 173–190 (2012).
- [10] Moss B., Stansfield J. H., Irvine K., Perrow M. R., Philips G. L.: Progressive restoration of a shallow lake, a twelve-year experiment in isolation, sediment removal and biomanipulation, *J. Appl. Ecol.* **33**: pp. 71–86 (1996).
- [11] Pantle R., Buck H.: Die biologische Ueberwachung der Gewaesser und die Darstellung der Ergebnisse. *Gas und Wasserfach*, **96**, 604 (1955).
- [12] Regulation for Classification of Water. *Official Gazette of Republic of Macedonia* No. **18**, 1165 (1999).
- [13] Smiljkov S., Slavevska-Stamenković V., Prelić D., Paunović M.: Distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates in Mantovo Reservoir (South-East part of the R. Macedonia). (BALWOIS). http://balwois.com/balwois/administration/full_paper_181.pdf (2008).
- [14] Šrámek-Hušek Rudolf, Straskraba Milan, Brtek Ján.: *Fauna ČSSR, sv. 16. Lupenožci – Branchyopoda*. ČAV, Praha (1962).
- [15] Vagner D., Mestrov M.: Distribution and Ecological Dependence of Oligochaeta (Annelida, Clitellata) populations of the River Vrbas, *Glasnik Zemaljskog muzeja N.S. sv.: Prirodne nauke, Sarajevo*. 103–117 (1982).