025 Received: June 9, 2009 Accepted: December 15, 2009

INFLUENCE OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND MOLYBDENUM FERTILIZATION, ALONE AND IN COMBINATION ON THE WINTER FABA BEAN ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF PRODUCTION

Rusinka Petkova¹, Tsenka Zhelyazkova², Dimitar Pavlov²

¹Agricultural Institute, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria ²Trakia University, 6000 Stara Zagora, Bulgaria dpavlov@af.uni-sz.bg

During three year period at the Agricultural Institute, Stara Zagora (Bulgaria), influence of fertilization with Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Molybdenum alone and in combination on the energy efficiency of winter faba bean variety Meris Bina was investigated. In the experiment the next variants were included: 1. unfertilized; 2. N_{60} ; 3. P_{120} ; 4. $N_{60}P_{60}$; 5. $N_{120}P_{120}$; 6. $Mo_{0,2}$; 7. $N_{120}Mo_{0,2}$; 8. $P_{120}Mo_{0,2}$; 9. $N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,2}$. It was established that the examined variants of mineral fertilization have positive effect on obtained biomass and energy efficiency of the production. The highest coefficient of energy efficiency was obtained from fertilization with Molybdenum ($Mo_{0,2}$) alone– 13.63 for GE; 7.49 for ME and 4.43 for NE, which was respectively with 13.,05%, 13.73% and 13.85% higher compared to the unfertilized faba bean.

Key words: winter faba; fertilization; energy input and output; energy efficiency

ВЛИЈАНИЕ НА ФЕРТИЛИЗАЦИЈАТА СО АЗОТ, ФОСФОР И МОЛИБДЕН САМИ ИЛИ ВО КОМБИНАЦИЈА ВРЗ ПРОДУКЦИЈАТА И ЕНЕРГЕТСКАТА ЕФИКАСНОСТ НА ЗИМСКИОТ СТОЧЕН ГРАВ

Во текот на тригодишен период во Земјоделскиот институт во Стара Загора (Бугарија) беше истражувано влијанието на фертилизацијата со азот, фосфор и молибден, сами или во комбинација, врз енергетската ефикасност на зимскиот сточен грав, вариетет мерис бина. Во експериментот беа вклучени следните варијанти: 1. нефертилизирана; 2. N₆₀; 3. P₁₂₀; 4. N₆₀P₆₀; 5. N₁₂₀P₁₂₀; 6. Mo_{0,2}; 7. N₁₂₀Mo_{0,2}; 8. P₁₂₀Mo_{0,2}; 9. N₁₂₀P₁₂₀Mo_{0,2}. Беше утврдено дека испитуваните варијанти на минерална фертилизација имаа позитивен ефект на добиената биомаса и енергетската ефикасност на продукцијата. Највисок коефициент на енергетска ефикасност беше добиен при фертилизацијата со молибден (Mo_{0,2}) сам – 13,63 за GE; 7,49 за ME и 4,43 за NE, кој беше, соодветно, за 13,05%, 13,73% и 13,85% повисок споредено со нефертилизираниот сточен грав.

Клучни зборови: зимски сточен грав; фертилизација; влезна и излезна енергија; енергетска ефикасност

1. INTRODUCTION

Permanent increasing the amount of agricultural production is bounded up with respective increasing of energy expenses. At the same time the lack of natural energy resources obviously decreeases. This tendency will be enlarged, which imposes introduction of the new approach of evaluation of efficiency of plant cultivation – energy efficiency. This approach allows comparing of different technologies of crop production, eliminating price variations and crises, fluctuations of inflation indices and allows selection of the high energy profitable technologies for plant cultivation (Mitova, 1996; Alam, 2006; Chamsing, 2006; Ozkan, 2004; Krasteva, et al., 2007; Jeliazkova and Pavlov, 2008).

Winter faba bean provokes the interest of the investigators recently. The new achievements of crop production and selection clacify faba bean as a high productive and effective plant in intensive conditions (Sève, B., 2004). There is some investigations in Bulgaria concerning the reaction of winter faba bean on fertilization (Kostov et al., 1987; Andreinski, 1993; Tonev, 1996), but not data for the energy efficiency of the faba bean production.

The aim of the present study is establishing of energy efficiency of winter faba bean production under the influence of fertilization with different mineral fertilizers including nitrogen, phosphorus and molybdenum fertilization alone and in combination.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the experimental field of Pastren – Experimental Station of Irrigation Agriculture (Agricultural Institute, Stara Zagora), a three year field experiment by the block method in four repetitions with the experimental parcel size of 14 m² was conducted with winter faba bean after the predecessor winter wheat. The applied crop density was – 25 g.s./m² with row spacing 70 cm between. The crop was established by the Faba bean (*Faba vulgaris* var. *equinal*) variety Meris Bina.

Phosphorus fertilizer was incorporated into the soil before ploughing during autumn and nitrogen fertilization during early spring. Molybdenum by the form of sodium molybdate, 0.2 kg/ha was applied by wet seeds treatment before seeding.

The soil in the region is leached smolnitza with a massive humus layer with 3.6–4.2% humus. The soil is low reserved with available nitrogen and phosphorus and well with potassium. Soil acidity is moderate.

Irrigation was applied by seasonal stationary installation with perforated plastic tubes with taps, by furrows.

All other operations for cultivation of winter faba bean were applied in accordance with the conventional technology for cultivation of this plant.

The following variants were tested: 1. unfertilized; 2. N_{60} ; 3. P_{120} ; 4. $N_{60}P_{60}$; 5. $N_{120}P_{120}$; 6. $Mo_{0,2}$; 7. $N_{120}Mo_{0,2}$; 8. $P_{120}Mo_{0,2}$; 9. $N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,2}$.

Energy efficiency of the cultivated faba bean was established by the ratio of energy input and energy output used for common energy analyses of cultivated agricultural crops (Ivanov, 1998, 1999; Tokarev et al., 1989; Chamsing et al., 2006; Pimentel et al., 1973; Jeliazkova and Pavlov, 2008). As for the energy input, all expenses for the respective agricultural operations included in the technology by the norms and transformed in energy equivalents are the following: for fertilization -60.60 MJ/kg N, 11.10 MJ/kg P₂O₅ (Chaudhary et al., 2006), Mo - 0.037 MJ/kg; - for diesel fuel -56.31 MJ/l (Ozkan et al., 2004b); - for mechanization - 64.80 MJ/h (Ozkan et al., 2004b); for seeds - calculated energy equivalent - 19.12 MJ/kg (Petkova and Pavlov, 2009); - for herbicides -238.0 MJ/kg (Helsel, 1992); - insecticides - 92.0 MJ/kg (Helsel, 1992); - for electricity - 3.60 MJ/kWh (Ozkan et al., 2004a); - for water - 0.63 MJ/m³ (Yaldiz, 1993, Jeliazkova 2008). The energy output from the biomass of winter faba bean was calculated on the base of the yield of dry matter (DM) from grain and straw, energy value -Gross energy (GE), Metabolizable energy (ME) Net energy (NE) in kg DM of grain (Petkova and Pavlov, 2009) and for straw (Todorov et al., 2007).

The efficiency of the input energy was determined by the coefficient of energy efficiency R, defined as a ratio between the energy P (MJ/ha) obtained from the final whole productivity and the energy E (MJ/ha) input for the production (R = P/E) (Pimentel et al., 1973; Jeliazkova and Pavlov, 2008).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

For cultivation of winter faba bean energy input was average 22010.01 MJ/ha (Tab. 1). Energy input varies during the years depending on the productivity and agroecological conditions during separate years. The highest energy input was obtained in the first year and the lowest in the third year.

Energy input was lowest in the unfertilized (control) variant. Differences in the energy input by the variants are significant due to the energy expenses for the fertilizer and also increased additional expenses for harvesting and storage of the higher yield of biomass. The highest value of energy input – 35114.96 MJ/ha was obtained when fertilization with N₁₂₀P₁₂₀ Mo_{0,2} was applied. The energy expenses with fertilization were three times higher compared to the control. The differences were not statistically significant for the application of N₁₂₀P₁₂₀Mo_{0,20} withN₁₂₀P₁₂₀ and P₁₂₀ with P₁₂₀Mo_{0,20}. The molybdenum treatment has not a significant effect on the energy expenses. There are statistically significant differences in

energy input between the control and fertilization with N_{60} ; $N_{60}P_{60}$; $Mo_{0,20}$ and $N_{120}Mo_{0,20}$.

The investigation of the structure of the input energy for cultivation of winter faba bean demonstrates that the expenses for fertilizers occupy the highest amount of all energy input (44.164%, Tab. 2). Expenses for fuel and seeds occupy 25.605% and 19.605%, respectively. This shows that each technological decision which leads to optimization of amount of chemical products applied in plant cultivation is a good manner to improve the energy balance of the production. Energy expenses for application with Molybdenum are 0,010% for the chemical and for labour 0.472%.

For the period of the investigation the average amount of the obtained total energy was 141607.5 MJ/ha gross energy (GE, Tab. 3). The obtained metabolizable energy (ME) was 77450.6 MJ/ha, and pure productive net energy (NE) was 45761.7 MJ/ha.

Table 1

Variants		Year	Average		
v arrants	Ι	II	III	MJ/ha	%
Control	10971.96	10577.62	10471.71	10673.76	100.00
N ₆₀	21985.46	21705.45	21557.18	21749.36	203.76
P ₁₂₀	14185.68	13867.49	13709.91	13921.03 b*	130.42
$N_{60}P_{60}$	25091.69	24685.60	24543.04	24773.44	232.10
$N_{120}P_{120}$	35347.90	35015.03	34842.50	35068.48 a	328.55
Mo _{0,20}	11160.85	10721.88	10648.49	10843.74	101.59
N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	32352.06	32058.65	31872.69	32094.47	300.69
P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	14167.65	13747.12	13637.75	13850.84 b	129.77
N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	35342.81	35158.02	34844.04	35114.96 a	328.98
Average	22289.56	21948.54	21791.92	22010.01	

Energy input in faba bean cultivation for three years and average for the same period, MJ/ha

LSD P < 0,05 = 79.8; P < 0.01 = 109.9; P < 0.001 = 151.4

*Differences between the parameters are statistically significant if they have not the equal letter.

Table 2

Ctore to the set	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f. l	1	14:		£	41			M T /1
Structure of	r energy	indut i	п тара	bean	синічаноп	average	tor	three	vear	perioa.	MJ/na

Source					Variants					Avera	ıge
of energy input	Control	N ₆₀	P ₁₂₀	N ₆₀ " P ₆₀	N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀	Mo _{0,20}	N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	MJ/ha	%
Diesel fuel	4853.36	4968.61	5064.90	5071.09	5069.96	4937.07	4984.75	5029.23	5089.11	4994.17	25.605
Fertilizers	0.00	10908.00	2886.00	13794.00	24096.00	0.74	21210.74	2886.74	24096.74	8614.12	44.164
Nitrogen	0.00	10908.00	0.00	10908.00	21210.00	0.00	21210.00	0.00	21210.00	7171.00	36.765
Phosphorus	0.00	0.00	2886.00	2886.00	2886.00	0.00	0.00	2886.00	2886.00	1443.00	7.398
Molybdenum	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.12	0.001
Pesticides	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	353.28	1.811
Insecticides	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	26.68	0.137
Fungicides	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	326.60	1.674
Human labour	87.46	90.14	97.87	92.47	90.08	93.93	92.81	95.87	93.35	91.99	0.472
Electricity	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	2.03	0.010
Machinery	1045.22	1094.90	1184.54	1128.17	1124.71	1124.28	1118.45	1151.28	1148.04	1116.97	5.727
Water	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	508.41	2.607
Seeds	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	3824.00	19.605
Total, MJ/ha	10673.76	21749.36	13921.03	24773.44	35068.48	10843.74	32094.47	13850.84	35114.96	19504.97	100.00

Table 3

Energy output from the whole biologic mass of the faba bean for three years and average for the same period, MJ/ha

Variants		Year		Averag	rage	
v uriunto	Ι	II	III	MJ/ha	%	
Gross energy (GE)						
Control	116536.20	140137.20	128904.60	128526.00	100.00	
N ₆₀	117766.70	153625.00	137863.60	136418.43 a	106.14	
P ₁₂₀	140559.60	171934.10	155670.20	156054.63	121.42	
N ₆₀ P ₆₀	130771.00	153660.50	138407.00	140946. 17a,b	109.66	
$N_{120}P_{120}$	122531.50	152658.80	134419.60	136536.63 a,b	106.23	
Mo _{0,20}	137433.50	156925.00	148543.00	147633.83 b,c	114.87	
N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	122840.50	157087.90	137559.10	139162.50 b	108.28	
P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	137960.00	159126.00	147205.50	148097.17 c	115.23	
N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	121891.00	166849.00	134535.50	141091.83 b,c	109.78	
Average	127587.8	156889.3	140345.3	141607.5		
P < 0.01 = 11084 P < 0.001 = 15260 *Differences between	n the parameters are	statistically signifi	cant if they have no	ot the equal letter		
Metabolizable energy (
Control	63629.60	76427.60	70424.30	70160.50	100.00	
N ₆₀	64530.40	83984.00	75537.20	74683.87 a	106.45	
P ₁₂₀	78061.60	93995.60	86425.20	86160.80	122.81	
N ₆₀ P ₆₀	70712.90	83892.30	75728.50	76777.90 a	109.43	
$N_{120}P_{120}$	67406.00	83293.00	73892.00	74863.67 a	106.70	
Mo _{0,20}	75159.20	86813.20	81253.20	81075.20 b	115.56	
N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	66861.50	84699.50	74876.00	75479.00 a	107.58	
P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	75111.70	86873.70	80127.30	80704.23 b	115.03	
N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	67492.20	89442.40	74516.60	77150.40 a	109.96	
Average	69885.0	85491.3	76975.6	77450.6		
LSD P<0,05 = 3489 P<0,01 = 4806 P<0,001 = 6617 *Differences between Net energy (NE)	n the parameters are	statistically signifi	cant if they have no	ot the equal letter		
Control	37644.40	45188.80	41677.00	41503.40	100.00	
N ₆₀	38172.60	49621.20	44682.00	44158.60 a	106.40	
P ₁₂₀	46602.60	55664.40	51587.10	51284.70	123.57	
$N_{60}P_{60}$	41449.80	49418.40	44658.60	45175.60 a	108.85	
$N_{120}P_{120}$	39940.60	49147.50	43767.60	44285.23 a	106.70	
	44412.20	51600.40	48018.80		115.68	
Mo _{0,20}		49778.80		48010.47 b		
	39490.40 44100.00		44225.00	44498.07 a	107.22	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		51076.80	47039.40	47405.40 b	114.22	
P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}		500 17 50	110((00	15500 50		
$N_{120}Mo_{0,20}$ $P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$ $N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$ Average	40087.00 41322.2	52247.60 50416.0	44266.00 45546.8	45533.53 a 45761.7	109.71	

*Differences between the parameters are statistically significant if they have not the equal letter

Table 4

TT 1 .		Year			0./
Variants	Ι	II	III	Average	%
Gross energy (GE)					
Control	10.62	13.25	12.31	12.06	100.00
N ₆₀	5.36	7.08	6.40	6.28 b	52.04
P ₁₂₀	9.91	12.40	11.35	11.22 a	93.04
$N_{60}P_{60}$	5.21	6.22	5.64	5.69 b	47.20
$N_{120}P_{120}$	3.47	4.36	3.86	3.89 c	32.29
A0 _{0,20}	12.31	14.64	13.95	13.63	113.05
N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	3.80	4.90	4.32	4.3 c	35.97
P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	9.74	11.58	10.79	10.70 a	88.74
$N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$	3.45	4.75	3.86	4.02c	33.32
Average	7.10	8.80	8.05	7.98	
	veen the paramet	ers are statistically	significant if they hav	e not the equal letter	
Metabolizable ener	gy (ME)				
Control	5.80	7.23	6.73	6.58	100.00
N ₆₀	2.94	3.87	3.50	3.44 b	52.19
P ₁₂₀	5.50	6.78	6.30	6.19 a	94.10
$N_{60}P_{60}$	2.82	3.40	3.09	3.10 b	47.10
$N_{120}P_{120}$	1.91	2.38	2.12	2.14 c	32.44
Mo _{0,20}	6.73	8.10	7.63	7.49	113.73
N ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	2.07	2.64	2.35	2.35 c	35.74
$P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$	5.30	6.32	5.88	5.83 a	88.59
N ₁₂₀ P ₁₂₀ Mo _{0,20}	1.91	2.54	2.14	2.20 c	33.38
Average	3.89	4.81	4.41	4.37	
LSD P < 0.05 = 0.36 P < 0.01 = 0.50 P < 0.001 = 0.68 *Differences bet		ters are statistically	significant if they hav	ve not the equal letter	
Control	3.43	4.27	3.98	3.89 a	100.00
N ₆₀	1.74	2.29	2.07	2.03 d	52.17
P ₁₂₀	3.29	4.01	3.76	3.69 ab	94.68
N ₆₀ P ₆₀	1.65	2.00	1.82	1.82 d	46.85
$N_{120}P_{120}$	1.13	1.40	1.26	1.26 c	32.44
Mo _{0,20}	3.98	4.81	4.51	4.43	113.85
$N_{120}Mo_{0,20}$	1.22	1.55	1.39	1.39 c	35.62
$P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$	3.11	3.72	3.45	3.43 b	87.97
1200,20	1.13	1.49	1.27	1.30 c	33.30
N120P120M00 20			2.61	2.58	55.50
$N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,20}$ Average	2.30	2.84	2.01		

Coefficient of the energy efficiency from the whole biologic mass of the faba bean for three years and average for the same period

The highest values of GE, ME and NE were obtained during the second year, when the yield of grain and straw were the highest. Fertilization increases the energy output of faba bean. The highest output of GE, ME and NE was obtained from fertilization with P₁₂₀, which is 21.42% for GE; 22.81% for ME and 23.57% for NE compared to the control. The difference is statistically significant at P < 0.05 with other fertilization levels. The energy input was also higher with application of Mo_{0,2}, P₁₂₀Mo_{0,2}. Nitrogen fertilization alone or in combination leads to lower energy output.

In dry years such as the first and the third one higher ME and NE output were obtained from $Mo_{0,2}$, while in a wet year the respective energy output was obtained from $-N_{120}P_{120}Mo_{0,2}$.

The coefficient of energy efficiency of faba bean average cultivation for three years was: 7.98 for GE; 4.37 for ME and 2.58 for NE (Tab. 4). The energy efficiency coefficient was the highest during the second year. From the energy point of view the most effective is fertilization with Mo_{0.20} which increases the coefficient of energy efficiency with 13.05% for BE; 13.73% for ME and 13.85% for NE compared to the control. The differences between the application of Molybdenum and other fertilization levels and combinations are statistically significant at P < 0.05. High energy efficiency was also obtained from P_{120} and $P_{120}Mo_{0.2}$, which do not exceeded the control. Nitrogen N_{60} , N₁₂₀ alone or in combination has lower energy efficiency. The lowest energy efficiency was obtained when fertilization with N₁₂₀P₁₂₀, was applied due to very high energy expenses but it did not receive enough high energy output.

4. CONCLUSSION

- Fertilization increases the productivity of winter faba bean, but also increases the amount of energy input, which was average about 22010.01 MJ/ha GE. Fertilization – 44.164% and fuel – 31.32% occupy the highest amount of energy input in faba bean cultivation.
- Energy output from faba bean cultivation was average 141607.5 MJ/ha GE; 77450.6 MJ/ha ME and 45761.7 MJ/ha NE.
- The highest amount of energy output was obtained from fertilization with P₁₂₀. Because of higher energy input phosphorus fertiliza-

tion is less effective compared to molybdenum.

★ The highest coefficient of energy efficiency for faba bean cultivation in irrigation conditions was obtained from fertilization with (Mo_{0.2}) - 13.63 for GE; 7.49 for ME and 4.43 for NE, which was with 13.05%; 13.73% and 13.85% higher compared to unfertilized faba bean.

REFERENCES

- Alam M. S., M. R. Alam, K. K. Islam, Energy Flow in Agriculture: Bangladesh. American Journal of Environmental Sciences, 1, 3, 213–220 (2005).
- Andreinski J., Productivity of winter forage faba bean. *Crop* production., vol. XXX, (7–8), 94–97 (1993) (Bg).
- Chamsing A., V. Salokhe, G. Singh, Energy Consumption Analysis for Selected Crops in Different Regions of Thailand. Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript EE 06 013 Vol. VIII. November (2006).
- Chaudhary V., B. Gangwar, D. Pandey, Auditing of Energy Use and Output of Different Cropping Systems in India. *Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Ejournal.* Manuscript EE 05 001 Vol. VIII. June (2006).
- Hatirli S. A., B. Ozkan, C. Pert, Energy inputs and crop yield relationship in greenhouse tomato production. *Renewable Energy*, 31, 427–438 (2006).
- http://cigrejournal.tamu.edu/submissions/volume8/EE%2005 %20001%20Chaudhary%2 Ofinal%2028June2006.pdf
- http://cigrejournal.tamu.edu/submissions/volume8/EE%2006 %20013%20Salokhe%20fi nal%206Nov2006.pdf.
- Ivanov D. S., Common methodological of approach for energy analyze of grain forage production. *Agricultural Technics*, XXXV (5), 26–29 (1998) (Bg).
- Ivanov D. S., Structural analyze of the energy input of grain production. *Economics and Management of Agriculture*, XLIV, 4, 13–20 (1999) (Bg).
- Jeliazkova T. Z., D. Pavlov, Energy efficiency of productivity of spring pea, treated with growth regulators. *Journal of Mountain Agriculture on the Balkan*, **11** (5), 866–878 (2008).
- Kostov K., A. Katcarov, Perspective forage plants. Zemizdat, Sf., 33–39 (1987) (Bg).
- Krasteva A., M. Dacheva, D. Ivanova, Summarized model for evaluation of energy efficiency of water supply and irrigation systems. *Agricultural technics*. 1, 26 (2007) (Bg).
- Mitova T., Nature and importance of energy analyze for evaluation of different sections of the system of agriculture on the example of the mineral fertilization. Soil science, Agrochemistry, *Ecology.*, **XXXI**, № 5, 14–17 (1996) (Bg).
- Ozkan B., A. Kurklu, H. Akcaoz, An input-output energy analysis in greenhouse vegetable production: a case study for Antalya region of Turkey. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 26, 89–95 (2004b).

- Ozkan B., H. Akcaoz, C. Fert, Energy input-output analysis in Turkish agriculture. *Renewable Energy*, 29, 39–51 (2004a).
- Petkova R., D. Pavlov, Influence of fertilization on productivity, composition and nutritive value of Faba bean grain. *Balnimalcon*, 14 – 16 may 2009 –Stara Zagora, 250–253 (2009).
- Sève, B., Grain Legumes as alternative protein soures is monograstic animal feeding. 55-th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal production. Bled (Slovenia), September 5–8, 1–17 (2004).
- Todorov N., I. Krachunov, D. Djouvinov, A. Alexandrov, Handbook for animal nutrition. MATCOM. 399 (2007) (Bg).

- Tokarev V. A., Bratushkin V. N., Nikiforov A. N., Methodological recommendations for heat-energetical evaluation agricultural technics, technological processes and technology in crop production. M. VIM 59 (Ru) (1989).
- Tonev T., Main sections from the agrotechnics of Ti type forage faba bean for grain. *Agricultural science*. 2, 26–29 (1996) (Bg).
- Yaldiz O., H. Ozturk, Y. Zeren, A. Bascetincelik, Energy usage in production of field crops in Turkey. 5th Int. Cong, on Mechanization and Energy Use in Agriculture, 11– 14–Oct 1993, Kusadasi, Turkey (Cytated by Hatirli et al., 2006 (1993)).